

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,608	12/04/2003	Alexander Schnell	033275-420	6934	
21839 7590 04/18/2005 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER		
			KASTLER,	KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
		DATE MAIL ED. 04/19/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/726,608	SCHNELL ET AL.				
	Art Unit				
	1742				
ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
_•					
action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set on is required if the drawing(s) is obsaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
•					
have been received in Application to the have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	Examiner Scott Kastler ears on the cover sheet with the status of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI date of this communication, even if timely file action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, proximal programment. In accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and the communication) of the certified copies not received. The certified copies not received the certified copies not received the certified copies not received. A) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Down Notice of Informal Formal Forma				

Double Patenting

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 10/726542. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because firstly, the step of "determining" either the service metal temperature or the depletion cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit any of the claims because these steps are a) not connected to any actual physical process step and therefore constitute no more than a non-limiting mental, calculation and b) the actual steps of determining either of these properties are not described in the claims. Secondly, the broad disclosure of the instant claims allows for the additional heat treating steps of the '542 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by either of Antonelli or Antonelli et al. Both of the Antonelli and Antonelli et al articles teach methods including measuring the electrical conductivity and magnetic permeability of a McrAlY coating on a turbine blade through the use of a multi-frequency eddy current system (see the abstracts of

Art Unit: 1742

both articles for example) thereby showing all aspects of the above claims since as stated above, the step of "determining" the service metal temperature cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit the claims because this step is a) not connected to any actual physical process step and therefore constitutes no more than a non-limiting mental calculation and b) the actual step of determining this property is not described in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of
Antonelli or Antonelli et al in view of Singheiser. As applied to claim 1 above, either of
Antonelli or Antonelli et al show all aspects of the above claims except the use of the specifically
recited MCrAlY alloy, although the recited alloy is within the scope of each of these references.

Singheiser teaches that a MCrAlY alloy fully meeting the requirements of the instant claims (see
the instant specification at page 5 for example) was known as a preferred MCrAlY alloy for
turbine blade applications at the time the invention was made. Because the turbine blades of both
of Antonelli and Antonelli et al would also desire the improved properties afforded by the alloy
of Singheiser, motivation to employ the MCrAlY alloy of Singheiser as the alloy of either of
Antonelli or Antonelli et al would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in
the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of Beeck et al and Jiang et al are also cited as further examples of prior art methods of employing eddy current measurements for the determination of MCrAlY properties.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742